arXiv:1601.07518v3 [math.CO] 10 May 2016

APPROXIMATING PERMANENTS AND HAFNIANS

Alexander Barvinok

May 2016

Abstract. We prove that the logarithm of the permanent of an $n \times n$ real matrix A and the logarithm of the hafnian of a $2n \times 2n$ real symmetric matrix A can be approximated within an additive error $1 \geq \epsilon > 0$ by a polynomial p in the entries of A of degree $O(\ln n - \ln \epsilon)$ provided the entries a_{ij} of A satisfy $\delta \leq a_{ij} \leq 1$ for an arbitrarily small $\delta > 0$, fixed in advance. Moreover, the polynomial p can be computed in $n^{O(\ln n - \ln \epsilon)}$ time. We also improve bounds for approximating $\ln \operatorname{per} A$, $\ln \operatorname{haf} A$ and logarithms of multi-dimensional permanents for complex matrices and tensors A.

1. Introduction and the main results

We discuss analytic methods of efficient approximation of permanents and hafnians of real and complex matrices as well as of their multi-dimensional versions, objects of considerable interest in connection with problems in combinatorics [LP09], [Mi78], quantum physics [AA13], [Ka16], [KK14] and computational complexity [Va79], [J+04].

(1.1) Permanent. Let $A = (a_{ij})$ be an $n \times n$ real or complex matrix. The *permanent* of A is defined as

$$\operatorname{per} A = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \prod_{i=1}^{n} a_{i\sigma(i)},$$

where S_n is the symmetric group of permutations of the set $\{1, \ldots, n\}$. It is a $\#P$-hard problem to compute the permanent of a given 0-1 matrix A exactly [Va79], although a fully polynomial randomized approximation scheme is constructed for non-negative matrices [J+04]. The permanent of an $n \times n$ non-negative matrix A can be approximated within a factor of e^n in deterministic polynomial time [L+00]

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 15A15, 68C25, 68W25.

Key words and phrases. permanent, hafnian, algorithm.

This research was partially supported by NSF Grant DMS 1361541.

Typeset by $\mathcal{AMS}$-TEX

and the factor was improved to 2^n in [GS14] (with a conjectured improvement to $2^{n/2}$). If one assumes that

$$\delta \le a_{ij} \le 1 \quad \text{for all} \quad i,j \tag{1.1.1}$$

and some $0 < \delta \le 1$ fixed in advance, then the polynomial algorithm of [L+00] actually results in an approximation factor of $n^{O(1)}$, where the implied constant in the "O" notation depends on δ, see also [BS11]. Apart from that, deterministic polynomial time algorithms are known for special classes of matrices. For example, in [GK10], for any $\epsilon > 0$, fixed in advance, a polynomial time algorithm is constructed to approximate $\operatorname{per} A$ within a factor of $(1+\epsilon)^n$ if A is the adjacency matrix of a constant degree expander. We also note that in [F+04] a simple randomized algorithm is shown to approximate $\operatorname{per} A$ within a subexponential in n factor provided (1.1.1) holds with some $0 < \delta \le 1$, fixed in advance.

In this paper, we present a quasi-polynomial deterministic algorithm, which, given an $n \times n$ matrix $A = (a_{ij})$ satisfying (1.1.1) with some $0 < \delta \le 1$, fixed in advance, and an $\epsilon > 0$ approximates $\operatorname{per} A$ within a relative error ϵ in $n^{O(\ln n - \ln \epsilon)}$ time. The implicit constant in the "O" notation depends on δ.

More precisely, we prove the following result.

(1.2) Theorem. *For any $0 < \delta \le 1$ there exists $\gamma = \gamma(\delta) > 0$ such that for any positive integer n and any $0 < \epsilon < 1$ there exists a polynomial $p = p_{n,\delta,\epsilon}$ in the entries a_{ij} of an $n \times n$ matrix A such that $\deg p \le \gamma(\ln n - \ln \epsilon)$ and*

$$|\ln \operatorname{per} A - p(A)| \le \epsilon$$

for all $n \times n$ real matrices $A = (a_{ij})$ satisfying

$$\delta \ \le \ a_{ij} \ \le \ 1 \quad \textit{for all} \quad i,j.$$

We show that the polynomial p can be computed in quasi-polynomial time $n^{O(\ln n - \ln \epsilon)}$, where the implicit constant in the "O" notation depends on δ alone.

Our approach continues a line of work started in [Ba16] and continued in [Ba15], [BS16] and [Re15]. The main idea is to relate approximability of a polynomial with its *complex* zeros. For a complex number $z = a + ib$, we denote by $\Re z = a$ and $\Im z = b$, the real and imaginary parts of z correspondingly. We deduce Theorem 1.2 from the following result.

(1.3) Theorem. *Let us fix a real $0 < \delta \le 1$ and a real $\tau \ge 0$ such that*

$$\tau \ \le \ \delta \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{4} - \frac{1}{2}\arccos \delta^2\right).$$

Let $Z = (z_{ij})$ be an $n \times n$ complex matrix such that

$$\delta \ \le \ \Re z_{ij} \ \le 1 \quad \textit{and} \quad |\Im z_{ij}| \ \le \ \tau \quad \textit{for all} \quad 1 \le i,j \le n.$$

Then

$$\operatorname{per} Z \neq 0.$$

In particular, the conclusion of the theorem holds if

$$\tau \leq \frac{1}{2}\delta^3$$

since

$$\frac{1}{2}\delta^3 \;\leq\; \delta \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{4} - \frac{1}{2}\arccos\delta^2\right) \quad \text{for} \quad 0 \leq \delta \leq 1.$$

There is an interest in computing permanents of *complex* matrices [AA13], [KK14], [Ka16]. Ryser's algorithm, see for example Chapter 7 of [Mi78], computes the permanent of an $n \times n$ matrix A over an arbitrary field exactly in $O(n2^n)$ time. In [Fü00], a randomized polynomial time algorithm is constructed which computes the permanent of a complex matrix within a (properly defined) relative error $\epsilon > 0$ in $O\left(3^{n/2}\epsilon^{-2}\right)$ time. In [Gu05], a randomized algorithm is constructed which approximates $\operatorname{per} A$ for a complex $n \times n$ matrix A within an additive error $\epsilon\|A\|^n$, where $\|A\|$ is the operator norm of A, in time polynomial in n and $1/\epsilon$, see also [AA13] for an exposition.

In this paper, we prove the following results.

(1.4) Theorem. *Let $Z = (z_{ij})$ be an $n \times n$ complex matrix such that*

$$|1 - z_{ij}| \;\leq\; 0.5 \quad \textit{for all} \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq n.$$

Then

$$\operatorname{per} Z \neq 0.$$

Since $\operatorname{per} Z \neq 0$, we can choose a branch of $\ln \operatorname{per} Z$ when the conditions of Theorem 1.4 are satisfied (for convenience, we always choose the branch for which $\ln \operatorname{per} Z$ is real if Z is a real matrix). We deduce from Theorem 1.4 the following approximation result.

(1.5) Theorem. *For every $0 < \delta < 0.5$ there exits a constant $\gamma = \gamma(\delta) > 0$ such that for every positive integer n and every real $0 < \epsilon < 1$ there exists a polynomial $p = p_{n,\delta,\epsilon}$ in the entries of an $n \times n$ complex matrix $A = (a_{ij})$ such that $\deg p \leq \gamma\,(\ln n - \ln \epsilon)$ and*

$$|\ln \operatorname{per} A - p(A)| \;\leq\; \epsilon$$

for $n \times n$ complex matrices $A = (a_{ij})$ satisfying

$$|1 - a_{ij}| \;\leq\; \delta \quad \textit{for all} \quad i, j.$$

Moreover, the polynomial p can be computed in $n^{O(\ln n - \ln \epsilon)}$ time.

A version of Theorem 1.4 with a weaker bound of 0.195 instead of 0.5 and a more complicated proof was obtained in [Ba16]. Theorem 1.5 is also implicit in [Ba16]. We present its proof here since it serves as a stepping stone for the proof of Theorem 1.2.

It is not known whether the bound 0.5 in Theorems 1.4 and 1.5 can be increased, although one can show (see Section 3) that it cannot be increased to $\sqrt{2}/2 \approx 0.707$.

Theorems 1.4 and 1.5 state, roughly, that the permanent behaves nicely as long as the matrix is not too far in the ℓ^∞-norm from from the matrix J of all 1s. Applied to an arbitrary $n \times n$ positive matrix A, Theorem 1.5 implies that $\operatorname{per} A$ can be approximated deterministically within a relative error $0 < \epsilon < 1$ in quasi-polynomial time $n^{O(\ln n - \ln \epsilon)}$ as long as the entries of A are within some multiplicative factor $\gamma < 3$, fixed in advance, of each other. It follows from our proofs in Section 3, that for any $n \times n$ complex matrix A such that the ℓ^∞-distance from A to the complex hypersurface on $n \times n$ matrices Z satisfying $\operatorname{per} Z = 0$ is at least $\delta_0 > 0$, for any $0 < \delta < \delta_0$ and any $0 < \epsilon < 1$ there exists a polynomial p_A in the entries of an $n \times n$ matrix such that $|\ln \operatorname{per} B - p_A(B)| \le \epsilon$ for any matrix B within distance δ in the ℓ^∞-norm from A and $\deg p_A = O(\ln n - \ln \epsilon)$, where the implicit constant in the "O" notation depends only on δ and δ_0. However, for a general $A \neq J$, finding the polynomial p_A may be computationally hard.

Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 are of a different nature: there we allow the entries a_{ij} to be arbitrarily close to 0 but insist that the imaginary part of a_{ij} get smaller as a_{ij} approach 0. Theorem 1.2 implies that for a positive $n \times n$ matrix A, the value $\operatorname{per} A$ can be approximated deterministically within a relative error $0 < \epsilon < 1$ in quasi-polynomial time $n^{O(\ln n - \ln \epsilon)}$ as long as the entries of A are within some multiplicative factor $\gamma \ge 1$, arbitrarily large, but fixed in advance, of each other. It follows from our proofs in Section 4, that a similar to Theorem 1.2 approximation result holds for complex matrices $A = (a_{ij})$ with $\delta \le \Re\, a_{ij} \le 1$ and $|\Im a_{ij}| \le \tau_0$ for some fixed $\tau_0 < \tau$, where τ satisfies the conditions of Theorem 1.3.

The approach of Theorems 1.4 and 1.5 can be extended to a variety of *partition functions* [Ba15], [BS16]. As an example, in Section 3, we show how to extend it to multi-dimensional permanents of tensors. In contrast, the approach of Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 appears to be hard to extend to other partition functions; we mention some obstacles of the P vs. NP nature in Section 5. So far, the only immediate extension appears to be from permanents to hafnians.

(1.6) Hafnian. Let $A = (a_{ij})$ be a $2n \times 2n$ symmetric real or complex matrix. The *hafnian* of A is defined as

$$\operatorname{haf} A = \sum_{\{i_1,j_1\},\ldots,\{i_n,j_n\}} a_{i_1 j_1} \cdots a_{i_n j_n},$$

where the sum is taken over $(2n)!/2^n n!$ unordered partitions of the set $\{1, \ldots, 2n\}$ into n pairwise disjoint unordered pairs $\{i_1, j_1\}, \ldots, \{i_n, j_n\}$, see for example, Section 8.2 of [Mi78]. Just as the permanent of the biadjacency matrix of a bipartite

graph enumerates the perfect matchings in the graph, the hafnian of the adjacency matrix of a graph enumerates the perfect matchings in the graph. In fact, for any $n \times n$ matrix A we have

$$\operatorname{haf} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & A \\ A^T & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \operatorname{per} A,$$

and hence computing the permanent of an $n \times n$ matrix reduces to computing the hafnian of a symmetric $2n \times 2n$ matrix.

Computationally, the hafnian appears to be a more complicated object than the permanent. No fully polynomial (randomized or deterministic) approximation scheme is known to compute the hafnian of a non-negative symmetric matrix and no deterministic polynomial time algorithm to approximate the hafnian of a $2n \times 2n$ non-negative matrix within an exponential factor of c^n for some absolute constant $c > 1$ is known (though there is a randomized polynomial time algorithm achieving such an approximation [Ba99], see also [R+14] for cases when the algorithm approximates within a subexponential factor). On the other hand, if the entries a_{ij} of the matrix $A = (a_{ij})$ satisfy (1.1.1) for some $\delta > 0$, fixed in advance, there is a polynomial time algorithm approximating $\operatorname{haf} A$ within a factor of $n^{O(1)}$, where the implicit constant in the "O" notation depends on δ [BS11].

In this paper, we prove the following versions of Theorem 1.2 and 1.3.

(1.7) Theorem. *For any $0 < \delta \leq 1$ there exists $\gamma = \gamma(\delta) > 0$ such that for any positive integer n and any $0 < \epsilon < 1$ there exists a polynomial $p = p_{n,\delta,\epsilon}$ in the entries a_{ij} of a $2n \times 2n$ symmetric matrix A such that $\deg p \leq \gamma(\ln n - \ln \epsilon)$ and*

$$|\ln \operatorname{haf} A - p(A)| \leq \epsilon$$

for all $2n \times 2n$ real symmetric matrices $A = (a_{ij})$ satisfying

$$\delta \ \leq \ a_{ij} \ \leq \ 1 \quad \text{for all} \quad i, j.$$

The polynomial $p_{n,\delta,\epsilon}$ can be computed in $n^{O(\ln n - \ln \epsilon)}$ time, where the implicit constant in the "O" notation depends on δ. Consequently, we obtain a deterministic quasi-polynomial algorithm to approximate the hafnian of a positive matrix $A = (a_{ij})$ satisfying (1.1.1) within any given relative error $\epsilon > 0$.

As is the case with permanents, we deduce Theorem 1.7 from a result on the complex zeros of the hafnian.

(1.8) Theorem. *Let us fix a real $0 < \delta \leq 1$ and a real $\tau \geq 0$ such that*

$$\tau \ \leq \ \delta \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{4} - \frac{1}{2}\arccos \delta^2\right).$$

Let $Z = (z_{ij})$ be an $2n \times 2n$ symmetric complex matrix such that

$$\delta \ \leq \ \Re\, z_{ij} \ \leq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad |\Im\, z_{ij}| \ \leq \ \tau \quad \text{for all} \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq n.$$

Then

$$\operatorname{haf} Z \neq 0.$$

We also obtain the following versions of Theorems 1.4 and 1.5.

(1.9) Theorem. *Let $Z = (z_{ij})$ be an $2n \times 2n$ symmetric complex matrix such that*

$$|1 - z_{ij}| \ \leq \ 0.5 \quad \textit{for all} \quad i, j.$$

Then

$$\operatorname{haf} Z \neq 0.$$

Moreover, for any $0 < \delta < 0.5$ there exists $\gamma = \gamma(\delta) > 0$ and for any positive integer n and real $0 < \epsilon < 1$ there exists a polynomial $p = p_{\delta,n,\epsilon}$ in the entries of $2n \times 2n$ complex symmetric matrix $A = (a_{ij})$ such that $\deg p \leq \gamma(\ln n - \ln \epsilon)$ and

$$|\ln \operatorname{haf} A - p(A)| \ \leq \ \epsilon$$

provided

$$|1 - a_{ij}| \ \leq \ \delta \quad \textit{for all} \quad i, j.$$

The paper is organized as follows.

In Section 2, we prove Theorems 1.3 and 1.8.

In Section 3, we prove Theorems 1.4, 1.5 and 1.9. To illustrate that the approach of Theorems 1.4, 1.5 and 1.9 is more robust than that of Theorems 1.2, 1.3, 1.7 and 1.8, we show how to extend Theorems 1.4 and 1.5 to multi-dimensional permanents of tensors and where an attempt to extend Theorem 1.2 fails.

In Section 4, we prove Theorems 1.2 and 1.7.

In Section 5, we discuss possible ramifications, open questions and bounds of approximability.

2. Proofs of Theorems 1.3 and 1.8

We start with a simple geometric arguments regarding angles between non-zero complex numbers. We identify $\mathbb{C} = \mathbb{R}^2$, thus identifying complex numbers with vectors in the plane. We denote by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ the standard inner product in $\mathbb{R}^2$, so that

$$\langle a, b \rangle = \Re\left(a\overline{b}\right) \quad \text{for} \quad a, b \in \mathbb{C}$$

and by $|\cdot|$ the corresponding Euclidean norm (the modulus of a complex number).

(2.1) Lemma. *Let us fix a real $0 < \delta \leq 1$ and let $u_1, \ldots, u_n \in \mathbb{C}$ be non-zero complex numbers such that the angle between any two u_i and u_j does not exceed $\pi/2$.*

(1) *Let $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n$ and $\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_n$ be real such that*

$$\delta \ \leq \ \alpha_j, \beta_j \ \leq \ 1 \quad \textit{for} \quad j = 1, \ldots, n$$

and let

$$v = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_j u_j \quad \textit{and} \quad w = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \beta_j u_j.$$

Then $v \neq 0$, $w \neq 0$ and the angle between v and w does not exceed $\arccos \delta^2$.

(2) *Let $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n, \eta_1, \dots, \eta_n$ be complex numbers such that*

$$\delta \ \leq \ \Re \xi_j, \ \Re \eta_j \ \leq \ 1 \quad \textit{and} \quad |\Im \xi_j|, \ |\Im \eta_j| \ \leq \ \tau \quad \textit{for} \quad j = 1, \dots, n$$

and some $\tau > 0$ and let

$$v = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \xi_j u_j \quad \textit{and} \quad w = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \eta_j u_j.$$

If

$$\tau \ \leq \ \delta \sin \left(\frac{\pi}{4} - \frac{1}{2} \arccos \delta^2 \right)$$

then $v \neq 0$, $w \neq 0$ and the angle between v and w does not exceed $\pi/2$.

Proof. Let

$$u = \sum_{j=1}^{n} u_j.$$

Using that

$$\langle u_i, u_j \rangle \ \geq \ 0 \quad \text{for all} \quad i, j \quad \text{and} \quad \langle u_j, u_j \rangle > 0 \quad \text{for} \quad j = 1, \dots, n,$$

we conclude that

$$|u|^2 = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \langle u_i, u_j \rangle > 0.$$

Furthermore, in Part (1) we conclude that

$$\langle v, w \rangle = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \alpha_i \beta_j \langle u_i, u_j \rangle \ \geq \ \delta^2 \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \langle u_i, u_j \rangle = \delta^2 |u|^2. \tag{2.1.1}$$

In particular, $v \neq 0$ and $w \neq 0$.

Moreover, for any $x = \gamma_1 u_1 + \ldots + \gamma_n u_n$ where $-1 \ \leq \ \gamma_j \ \leq \ 1$ for $j = 1, \dots, n$, we have

$$|x|^2 = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \gamma_i \gamma_j \langle u_i, u_j \rangle \ \leq \ \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \langle u_i, u_j \rangle \ \leq \ |u|^2$$

and hence

$$\left| \sum_{j=1}^{n} \gamma_j u_j \right| \ \leq \ |u| \quad \text{provided} \quad -1 \ \leq \ \gamma_j \ \leq \ 1 \quad \text{for} \quad j = 1, \dots, n. \tag{2.1.2}$$

Hence in Part (1) we have

$$|v|,\ |w|\ \le\ |u|.$$

Denoting the angle between v and w by ω, in Part (1) we conclude that

$$\cos\omega = \frac{\langle v, w\rangle}{|v||w|}\ \ge\ \delta^2$$

and the proof of Part (1) follows.

To prove Part (2), we write

$$\xi_j = \alpha'_j + i\alpha''_j \quad \text{and} \quad \eta_j = \beta'_j + i\beta''_j \quad \text{for} \quad j = 1, \ldots, n$$

for real

$$\delta\ \le\ \alpha'_j,\ \beta'_j\ \le\ 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \left|\alpha''_j\right|,\ \left|\beta''_j\right|\ \le\ \tau \quad \text{for} \quad j = 1, \ldots, n.$$

Let

$$v' = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha'_j u_j, \quad w' = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \beta'_j u_j, \quad v'' = i\sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha''_j u_j \quad \text{and} \quad w'' = i\sum_{j=1}^{n} \beta''_j u_j,$$

so that

$$v = v' + v'' \quad \text{and} \quad w = w' + w''.$$

By Part (1), the angle between $v' \ne 0$ and $w' \ne 0$ does not exceed $\arccos\delta^2$. In addition, similarly to (2.1.1), we obtain

$$|v'|\ \ge\ \delta|u| \quad \text{and} \quad |w'|\ \ge\ \delta|u|,$$

while from (2.1.2), we have

$$|v''|\ \le\ \tau|u| \quad \text{and} \quad |w''|\ \le\ \tau|u|.$$

If $\tau < \delta$ then $|v''| < |v'|$, so that $v = v' + v'' \ne 0$ and the angle between v and v' does not exceed

$$\arcsin\frac{|v''|}{|v'|}\ \le\ \arcsin\frac{\tau}{\delta}.$$

Similarly, $|w''| < |w'|$, so that $w = w' + w'' \ne 0$ and the angle between w and w' does not exceed

$$\arcsin\frac{|w''|}{|w'|}\ \le\ \arcsin\frac{\tau}{\delta}.$$

Consequently, the angle between v and w does not exceed

$$\arccos\delta^2 + 2\arcsin\frac{\tau}{\delta} = \frac{\pi}{2},$$

and the proof of Part (2) follows. □

(2.2) Proof of Theorem 1.3. For a positive integer n, let $\mathcal{U}_n$ be the set of $n \times n$ complex matrices $Z = (z_{ij})$ such that

$$\delta \le \Re\, z_{ij} \le 1 \quad \text{and} \quad |\Im\, z_{ij}| \le \tau \quad \text{for all} \quad i, j. \tag{2.2.1}$$

We prove by induction on n a more general statement:

For any $Z \in \mathcal{U}_n$ we have $\operatorname{per} Z \neq 0$ and, moreover, if $A, B \in \mathcal{U}_n$ are two matrices that differ in one row (or in one column) only, then the angle between non-zero complex numbers $\operatorname{per} A$ and $\operatorname{per} B$ does not exceed $\pi/2$.

Since $\tau < \delta$, the statement holds for $n = 1$. Assuming that the statement holds for matrices in $\mathcal{U}_{n-1}$ with $n \ge 2$, let us consider two matrices $A, B \in \mathcal{U}_n$ that differ in one row or in one column only. Since the permanent of a matrix does not change when the rows or columns of the matrix are permuted or when the matrix is transposed, without loss of generality we assume that B is obtained from A by replacing the entries a_{1j} of the first row by complex numbers b_{1j} for $j = 1, \ldots, n$. Let A_j be the $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ matrix obtained from A by crossing out the first row and the j-th column. Then

$$\operatorname{per} A = \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{1j} \operatorname{per} A_j \quad \text{and} \quad \operatorname{per} B = \sum_{j=1}^{n} b_{1j} \operatorname{per} A_j. \tag{2.2.2}$$

We observe that $A_j \in \mathcal{U}_{n-1}$ for $j = 1, \ldots, n$ and, moreover, any two matrices A_{j_1} and A_{j_2} after a suitable permutation of columns differ in one column only. Hence by the induction hypothesis, we have $\operatorname{per} A_j \neq 0$ for $j = 1, \ldots, n$ and the angle between any two non-zero complex numbers $\operatorname{per} A_{j_1}$ and $\operatorname{per} A_{j_2}$ does not exceed $\pi/2$. Applying Lemma 2.1 with

$$u_j = \operatorname{per} A_j, \quad \xi_j = a_{1j} \quad \text{and} \quad \eta_j = b_{1j} \quad \text{for} \quad j = 1, \ldots, n,$$

we conclude that $\operatorname{per} A \neq 0$, $\operatorname{per} B \neq 0$ and that the angle between non-zero complex numbers $\operatorname{per} A$ and $\operatorname{per} B$ does not exceed $\pi/2$, which completes the induction. $\square$

(2.3) Proof of Theorem 1.8. The proof is very similar to that of Section 2.2. For a positive integer n, we define $\mathcal{U}_n$ as the set of $2n \times 2n$ symmetric complex matrices $Z = (z_{ij})$ satisfying (2.2.1) and we prove by induction on n that for any $Z \in \mathcal{U}_n$ we have $\operatorname{haf} Z \neq 0$ and if $A, B \in \mathcal{U}_n$ are two matrices that differ only in the k-th row and in the k-th column for some unique k then the angle between non-zero complex numbers $\operatorname{haf} A$ and $\operatorname{haf} B$ does not exceed $\pi/2$. Instead of the Laplace expansion (2.2.2) we use the recurrence

$$\operatorname{haf} A = \sum_{j=2}^{2n} a_{1j} \operatorname{haf} A_j, \tag{2.3.1}$$

where A_j is the $(2n-2) \times (2n-2)$ matrix obtained from A by crossing out the first row and the first column and the j-th row and the j-th column. We observe that, up to a simultaneous permutation of rows and columns (which does not change the hafnian), any two matrices A_{j_1} and A_{j_2} differ only in the k-th row and k-th column for some k and the induction proceeds as in Section 2.2. $\square$

3. Proofs of Theorems 1.4, 1.5 and 1.9

As in Section 2, we start with a simple geometric lemma.

(3.1) Lemma. *Let $0 \leq \theta < 2\pi/3$ be a real and let $u_1, \ldots, u_n \in \mathbb{C}$ be non-zero complex numbers such that the angle between any two u_i and u_j does not exceed θ. Let*

$$u = u_1 + \ldots + u_n.$$

Then

(1) *We have*

$$|u| \ \geq \ \left(\cos\frac{\theta}{2}\right) \sum_{j=1}^{n} |u_j| \, .$$

(2) *Let $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n$ and $\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_n$ be complex numbers such that*

$$|1 - \alpha_j| \ \leq \ \delta \quad \text{and} \quad |1 - \beta_j| \ \leq \ \delta$$

for some

$$0 \ \leq \ \delta \ < \ \cos\frac{\theta}{2}$$

and $j = 1, \ldots, n$. Let

$$v = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_j u_j \quad \text{and} \quad w = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \beta_j u_j.$$

Then $v \neq 0$, $w \neq 0$ and the angle between v and w does not exceed

$$2 \arcsin \frac{\delta}{\cos(\theta/2)}.$$

Proof. Part (1) and its proof is due to Boris Bukh [Bu15]. If 0 is in the convex hull of $u_1, \ldots, u_n$ then, by the Carathéodory Theorem, we conclude that 0 is in the convex hull of some three vectors u_i, u_j and u_k and hence the angle between some two vectors u_i and u_j is at least $2\pi/3$, which is a contradiction. Therefore, 0 is not in the convex hull of $u_1, \ldots, u_n$ and hence the vectors $u_1, \ldots, u_n$ lie in an angle $K \subset \mathbb{C}$ of measure at most θ with vertex at 0.

Let us consider the orthogonal projection of each vector u_j onto the bisector of K. Then the length of the projection of u_j is at least $|u_j| \cos(\theta/2)$ and hence the length of the orthogonal projection of u onto the bisector of K is at least

$$\left(\cos\frac{\theta}{2}\right) \sum_{j=1}^{n} |u_j|.$$

Since the length of u is at least as large as the length of its orthogonal projection, the proof of Part (1) follows.

To prove Part (2), we note that

$$|v-u| = \left|\sum_{j=1}^{n} (\alpha_j - 1)\, u_j\right| \;\le\; \delta \sum_{j=1}^{n} |u_j|.$$

From Part (1), we conclude that $|v-u| < |u|$. Therefore, $v = (v-u)+u \neq 0$ and the angle between v and u does not exceed

$$\arcsin \frac{|v-u|}{|u|} \;\le\; \arcsin \frac{\delta}{\cos(\theta/2)}.$$

Similarly, $w = (w-u)+u \neq 0$ and the angle between w and u does not exceed

$$\arcsin \frac{|w-u|}{|u|} \;\le\; \arcsin \frac{\delta}{\cos(\theta/2)}.$$

Therefore, the angle between v and w does not exceed

$$2 \arcsin \frac{\delta}{\cos(\theta/2)}$$

and the proof of Part (2) follows. □

(3.2) Proof of Theorem 1.4. For a positive integer n, let $\mathcal{U}_n$ be the set of $n \times n$ complex matrices $Z = (z_{ij})$ such that

$$|1 - z_{ij}| \;\le\; 0.5 \quad \text{for all} \quad i, j.$$

We prove by induction a more general statement:

For any $Z \in \mathcal{U}_n$ we have $\operatorname{per} Z \neq 0$ and, moreover, if $A, B \in \mathcal{U}_n$ are two matrices that differ in one row (or in one column) only then the angle between non-zero complex numbers $\operatorname{per} A$ and $\operatorname{per} B$ does not exceed $\pi/2$.

The statement obviously holds for $n = 1$. Assuming that the statement holds for matrices in $\mathcal{U}_{n-1}$ with $n \ge 2$, let us consider two matrices $A, B \in \mathcal{U}_n$ that differ in one row or in one column only. As in Section 2.2, we may assume that the matrix B is obtained from A by replacing the entries a_{1j} in the first row by the entries b_{1j} for $j = 1, \ldots, n$. As in Section 2.2, we can write

$$\operatorname{per} A = \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{1j} \operatorname{per} A_j \quad \text{and} \quad \operatorname{per} B = \sum_{j=1}^{n} b_{1j} \operatorname{per} A_j,$$

where $A_j \in \mathcal{U}_{n-1}$ is the $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ matrix obtained from A by crossing out the first row and the j-th column. As in Section 2.2, by the induction hypothesis we conclude that $\operatorname{per} A_j \neq 0$ for $j = 1, \ldots, n$ and that the angle between any two numbers $\operatorname{per} A_{j_1}$ and $\operatorname{per} A_{j_2}$ does not exceed $\pi/2$. Applying Part (2) of Lemma 3.1 with

$$u_j = \operatorname{per} A_j, \quad \alpha_j = a_{1j} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_j = b_{1j} \quad \text{for} \quad j = 1, \ldots, n,$$

we conclude that $\operatorname{per} A \neq 0$, $\operatorname{per} B \neq 0$ and the angle between non-zero complex numbers $\operatorname{per} A$ and $\operatorname{per} B$ does not exceed

$$2 \arcsin \frac{0.5}{\cos(\pi/4)} = 2 \arcsin \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} = \frac{\pi}{2},$$

which concludes the induction step. □

One can observe that $\delta = 0.5$ is the largest value of δ for which the equation

$$\theta = 2 \arcsin \frac{\delta}{\cos(\theta/2)}$$

has a solution $\theta < 2\pi/3$ and hence the induction in Section 3.2 can proceed. It is not known whether the constant 0.5 in Theorem 1.4 can be increased. Since

$$\operatorname{per} A = 0 \quad \text{where} \quad A = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1+i}{2} & \frac{1-i}{2} \\ \frac{1-i}{2} & \frac{1+i}{2} \end{pmatrix} = 0,$$

the value of 0.5 in Theorem 1.4 cannot be replaced by $\sqrt{2}/2 \approx 0.707$. Moreover, as Boris Bukh noticed [Bu15], we have

$$\operatorname{per}(A \otimes J_m) = 0,$$

where A is a matrix as above, m is odd and J_m is an $m \times m$ matrix filled with 1s.

Theorem 1.4 extends in a straightforward way to hafnians of complex symmetric matrices. What is more interesting, it generalizes to higher-dimensional permanents.

(3.3) Definition. Let $A = (a_{i_1 \ldots i_d})$ be a d-dimensional $n \times \ldots \times n$ array (tensor) filled with n^d real or complex matrices. We define the *permanent* of A by

$$\operatorname{PER} A = \sum_{\sigma_2, \ldots, \sigma_d \in S_n} \prod_{i=1}^{n} a_{i \sigma_2(i) \ldots \sigma_d(i)}.$$

In particular, if $d = 2$ then A is an $n \times n$ matrix and $\operatorname{PER} A = \operatorname{per} A$. If $d \geq 3$ it is an NP-complete problem to tell $\operatorname{PER} A$ from 0 if A is a tensor with 0-1 entries, since the problem reduces to finding whether a given d-partite hypergraph has a perfect matching.

We obtain the following extension of Theorem 1.4.

(3.4) Theorem. *Let $\delta_d > 0$ be real such that the equation*

$$\theta = 2 \arcsin \frac{\delta_d}{\cos\left(\frac{(d-1)\theta}{2}\right)}$$

has a solution $\theta \geq 0$ such that $(d-1)\theta < 2\pi/3$, so that one can choose

$$\delta_2 = 0.5, \quad \delta_3 = \frac{\sqrt{6}}{9} \approx 0.272 \quad \textit{and} \quad \delta_4 \approx 0.184.$$

Let $Z = (z_{i_1 \ldots i_d})$ be a d-dimensional complex $n \times \ldots \times n$ array such that

$$|1 - z_{i_1 \ldots i_d}| \ \leq \ \delta_d \quad \textit{for all} \quad i_1 \ldots i_d.$$

Then

$$\operatorname{PER} Z \neq 0.$$

Proof. First, we observe for all sufficiently small $\delta > 0$, the equation

$$\theta = 2 \arcsin \frac{\delta}{\cos\left(\frac{(d-1)\theta}{2}\right)} \tag{3.4.1}$$

has a solution $\theta > 0$ satisfying $(d-1)\theta < 2\pi/3$. Indeed, if $\delta > 0$ is small enough then the right hand side of (3.4.1) is greater for $\theta = 0$ while the left hand side is greater for $\theta = 1/d$, say.

By and large, the proof proceeds as in Section 3.2. We define a *slice* of the tensor $Z = (z_{i_1 \ldots i_d})$ as the set of n^{d-1} entries of Z with one of the indices $i_1, \ldots, i_d$ fixed to a particular value and the remaining $(d-1)$ indices varying arbitrarily. For a positive integer n, we define $\mathcal{U}_n$ as the set of $n \times \ldots \times n$ complex arrays $Z = (z_{i_1 \ldots i_d})$ such that

$$|1 - z_{i_1 \ldots i_d}| \ \leq \ \delta_d \quad \text{for all} \quad i_1, \ldots, i_d.$$

We prove by induction on n the following statement:

For any $Z \in \mathcal{U}_n$ we have $\operatorname{PER} Z \neq 0$ and, moreover, if $A, B \in \mathcal{U}_n$ are two tensors that differ in one slice only, then the angle between non-zero complex numbers $\operatorname{PER} A$ and $\operatorname{PER} B$ does not exceed θ, where $\theta \geq 0$ is a solution of (3.4.1) with $\delta = \delta_d$ which satisfies $(d-1)\theta < 2\pi/3$.

The statement clearly holds when $n = 1$. Assuming that $n \geq 2$, let us consider two tensors $A, B \in \mathcal{U}_n$ that differ in one slice only. Without loss of generality, we

assume that B is obtained from A by replacing the "top slice" numbers $a_{1i_2\ldots i_d}$ with numbers $b_{1i_2\ldots i_d}$. We use a d-dimensional version of the Laplace expansion:

$$\operatorname{PER} A = \sum_{1\le i_2,\ldots,i_d\le n} a_{1i_2\ldots i_d} \operatorname{PER} A_{i_2\ldots i_d} \quad \text{and}$$
$$\operatorname{PER} B = \sum_{1\le i_2,\ldots i_d\le n} b_{1i_2\ldots i_d} \operatorname{PER} A_{i_2\ldots i_d},$$

where $A_{i_2\ldots i_d}$ is the $(n-1)\times\ldots\times(n-1)$ tensor obtained from A by crossing out the d slices obtained by fixing the first index to 1, the second index to i_2, ..., the last index to i_d. It remains to notice that any two tensors $A_{i_2\ldots i_d}$ and $A_{i'_2\ldots i'_d}$ differ in at most $d-1$ slices, and hence by the induction hypothesis we have $\operatorname{PER} A_{i_2\ldots i_d} \neq 0$, $\operatorname{PER} A_{i'_2\ldots i'_d} \neq 0$ and the angle between the two non-zero complex numbers does not exceed $(d-1)\theta$. Applying Part (2) of Lemma 3.1, we conclude that $\operatorname{PER} A \neq 0$, $\operatorname{PER} B \neq 0$ and the angle between non-zero complex numbers $\operatorname{PER} A$ and $\operatorname{PER} B$ does not exceed

$$2\arcsin\frac{\delta_d}{\cos\left(\frac{(d-1)\theta}{2}\right)} = \theta,$$

which completes the induction. □

A version of Theorem 3.4 with weaker bounds $\delta_2 = 0.195$, $\delta_3 = 0.125$ and $\delta_4 = 0.093$ and a more complicated proof was obtained in [Ba16]. We note that an attempt to extend the proof of Theorem 1.3, see Section 2.2, to multi-dimensional permanents fails for $d > 2$, precisely because the obtained tensors $A_{i_2\ldots i_d}$ may differ in $d-1 > 1$ slices.

To deduce Theorem 1.5 from Theorem 1.4, we need the following simple result first obtained in [Ba16]. For completeness, we give its proof here.

(3.5) Lemma. *Let $g : \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a polynomial and let $\beta > 1$ be real such that $g(z) \neq 0$ for all $|z| \le \beta$. Let us choose a branch of*

$$f(z) = \ln g(z) \quad \textit{for} \quad |z| \le 1$$

and let

$$T_m(z) = f(0) + \sum_{k=1}^{m} \frac{f^{(k)}(0)}{k!} z^k$$

be the Taylor polynomial of $f(z)$ of degree m computed at $z = 0$. Then

$$|f(1) - T_m(1)| \;\le\; \frac{\deg g}{(m+1)\beta^m(\beta-1)}.$$

Proof. Without loss of generality, we assume that $n = \deg g > 0$. Let $z_1, \ldots, z_n \in \mathbb{C}$ be the roots of g, each root is listed with its multiplicity. Hence we can write

$$g(z) = g(0)\prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(1 - \frac{z}{z_j}\right) \quad \text{where} \quad |z_j| > \beta \quad \text{for} \quad j = 1, \ldots, n$$

and

$$f(z) = f(0) + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \ln\left(1 - \frac{z}{z_j}\right) \quad \text{for all} \quad |z| \le 1.$$

Using the Taylor series expansion for the logarithm, we obtain

$$\ln\left(1 - \frac{1}{z_j}\right) = -\sum_{k=1}^{m} \frac{1}{k z_j^k} + \xi_j$$

where

$$|\xi_j| = \left| -\sum_{k=m+1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{k z_j^k} \right| \le \frac{1}{m+1} \sum_{k=m+1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{\beta^k} \le \frac{1}{(m+1)\beta^m(\beta-1)}.$$

Since

$$T_m(1) = f(0) - \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{m} \frac{1}{k z_j^k},$$

the proof follows. □

It follows from Lemma 3.5 that as long as the roots of a polynomial $g(z)$ stay at least distance β away from 0 for some fixed $\beta > 1$ then to approximate $g(1)$ within a relative error ϵ, we can use the Taylor polynomial of $f(z) = \ln g(z)$ at $z = 0$ of degree $m = O(\ln \deg g - \ln \epsilon)$, where the implicit constant in the "O" notation depends on β only.

(3.6) Computing the derivatives. As is discussed in [Ba16], the computation of the first m derivatives $f^{(1)}(0), \dots, f^{(m)}(0)$ of $f(z) = \ln g(z)$ reduces to the computation of the first m derivatives $g^{(1)}(0), \dots, g^{(m)}(0)$ of g. Indeed,

$$f^{(1)}(z) = \frac{g^{(1)}(z)}{g(z)} \quad \text{and hence} \quad g^{(1)}(z) = f^{(1)}(z) g(z).$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} g^{(2)}(z) &= f^{(2)}(z) g(z) + f^{(1)}(z) g^{(1)}(z), \\ g^{(3)}(z) &= f^{(3)}(z) g(z) + 2 f^{(2)}(z) g^{(1)}(z) + f^{(1)}(z) g^{(2)}(z) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$g^{(k)}(0) = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \binom{k-1}{j} g^{(j)}(0) f^{(k-j)}(0) \tag{3.6.1}$$

where $g^{(0)}(0) = g(0) \neq 0$. Writing equations (3.6.1) for $k = 1, \dots, m$ we obtain a non-singular triangular system of linear equations in $f^{(k)}(0)$ with numbers $g(0) \neq 0$

on the diagonal from which the values of $f^{(1)}(0), \ldots, f^{(m)}(0)$ can be computed in $O(m^2)$ time from the values of $g(0), g^{(1)}(0), \ldots, g^{(m)}(0)$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} f^{(1)}(0) =& \frac{g^{(1)}(0)}{g(0)}, \quad f^{(2)}(0) = \frac{g^{(2)}(0) - f^{(1)}(0)g^{(1)}(0)}{g(0)} = \frac{g^{(2)}(0)}{g(0)} - \frac{\big(g^{(1)}(0)\big)^2}{(g(0))^2} \\ f^{(3)}(0) =& \frac{g^{(3)}(0) - 2f^{(2)}(0)g^{(1)}(0) - f^{(1)}(0)g^{(2)}(0)}{g(0)} \\ =& \frac{g^{(3)}(0)}{g(0)} - \frac{3g^{(2)}(0)g^{(1)}(0)}{(g(0))^2} + \frac{2\big(g^{(1)}(0)\big)^3}{(g(0))^3}, \end{aligned}$$

and, generally, $f^{(k)}(0)$ is a linear combination of expressions of the type

$$\frac{g^{(k_1)}(0)\cdots g^{(k_s)}(0)}{(g(0))^p} \quad \text{where} \quad k_1 + \ldots + k_s = k \quad \text{and} \quad p \geq 1$$

with integer coefficients.

(3.7) Proof of Theorem 1.5. Let $J = J_n$ be the $n \times n$ matrix filled with 1s and let $A = (a_{ij})$ be an $n \times n$ complex matrix satisfying

$$|1 - a_{ij}| \ \leq \ \delta \quad \text{for all} \quad i, j$$

and some $0 < \delta < 0.5$. We define a univariate polynomial

$$g(z) = \operatorname{per}\big(J + z(A - J)\big) \quad \text{for} \quad z \in \mathbb{C}.$$

so that $\deg g \leq n$,

$$g(0) = \operatorname{per} J = n! \quad \text{and} \quad g(1) = \operatorname{per} A.$$

Moreover, by Theorem 1.4 we have

$$g(z) \neq 0 \quad \text{provided} \quad |z| \leq \beta \quad \text{where} \quad \beta = \frac{0.5}{\delta} > 1.$$

Let us choose the branch of

$$f(z) = \ln g(z) \quad \text{for} \quad |z| \leq 1$$

so that $f(0)$ is real and let

$$T_m(z) = f(0) + \sum_{k=1}^{m} \frac{f^{(k)}(0)}{k!} z^k,$$

be the Taylor polynomial of degree m computed at $z = 0$. It follows from Lemma 3.5, that for some constant $\gamma = \gamma(\delta) > 0$ and integer $m \le \gamma(\ln n - \ln \epsilon)$ we have

$$|\ln \operatorname{per} A - T_m(1)| = |f(1) - T_m(1)| \ \le \ \epsilon.$$

It remains to show that $T_m(1)$ is a polynomial in the entries a_{ij} of the matrix A of degree at most m. In view of Section 3.6 and the fact that $g(0) = n!$, it suffices to check that $g^{(k)}(0)$ is a polynomial in the entries a_{ij} of the matrix A of degree at most k which can be computed in $n^{O(k)}$ time, where the implicit constant in the "O" notation is absolute. We have

$$g(z) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \big(1 + z\,(a_{i\sigma(i)} - 1)\big)$$

and hence

$$g^{(k)}(0) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \sum_{(i_1, \ldots, i_k)} \big(a_{i_1\sigma(i_1)} - 1\big) \cdots \big(a_{i_k\sigma(i_k)} - 1\big)\,,$$

where the last sum is taken over all ordered sets $(i_1, \ldots, i_k)$ of distinct numbers between 1 and n. By symmetry, we can further write

$$g^{(k)}(0) = (n-k)! \sum_{\substack{(i_1, \ldots, i_k) \\ (j_1, \ldots, j_k)}} (a_{i_1 j_1} - 1) \cdots (a_{i_k j_k} - 1)\,,$$

where the last sum is taken over all $(n!/(n-k)!)^2 \le n^{2k}$ pairs of ordered sets $(i_1, \ldots, i_k)$ and $(j_1, \ldots, j_k)$ of distinct numbers between 1 and n. □

(3.8) Proof of Theorem 1.9. The proof of the first part follows the proof Theorem 1.4 in Section 3.2, only that instead of the Laplace expansion, we use (2.3.1). To prove the second part, we define a polynomial

$$g(z) = \operatorname{haf}\big(J + z(A - J)\big),$$

where J is the $2n \times 2n$ symmetric matrix filled with 1s. We write

$$g(z) = \sum_{\{i_1, j_1\}, \ldots, \{i_n, j_n\}} (1 + z\,(a_{i_1 j_1} - 1)) \cdots (1 + z\,(a_{i_n j_n} - 1))\,,$$

where the sum is taken over all $(2n)!/2^n n!$ unordered partitions of the set $\{1, 2, \ldots, 2n\}$ into n pairwise disjoint unordered pairs $\{i_1, j_1\}, \ldots, \{i_n, j_n\}$. Hence

$$g(0) = \frac{(2n)!}{2^n n!}$$

and

$$g^{(k)}(0) = \frac{k!(2n-2k)!}{2^{(n-k)}(n-k)!} \sum_{\{i_1, j_1\}, \ldots, \{i_k, j_k\}} (a_{i_1 j_1} - 1) \cdots (a_{i_k j_k} - 1)\,,$$

where the sum is taken over all unordered collections $\{i_1, j_1\}, \ldots, \{i_k, j_k\}$ of pairwise disjoint unordered pairs.

The proof then proceeds as in Section 3.7. □

It follows that the polynomial p of Theorem 1.9 can be computed in time $n^{O(\ln n - \ln \epsilon)}$, where the implicit constant in the "O" notation depends on δ alone.

(3.9) Theorem. *For $d \geq 2$ and any $0 < \delta < \delta_d$, where δ_d is the constant of Theorem 3.4, there exists a constant $\gamma = \gamma(d, \delta) > 0$ and for every integer n and real $0 < \epsilon < 1$ there exists a polynomial $p = p_{d,\delta,\epsilon,n}$ in the entries of a d-dimensional $n \times \ldots \times n$ complex tensor $A = (a_{i_1 \ldots i_d})$ such that $\deg p \leq \gamma(\ln n - \ln \epsilon)$ and*

$$|\ln \operatorname{PER} A - p(A)| \;\leq\; \epsilon$$

provided

$$|1 - a_{i_1 \ldots i_d}| \;\leq\; \delta \quad \textit{for all} \quad 1 \leq i_1, \ldots, i_d \leq n.$$

Proof. Let $J = J_{n,d}$ be the d-dimensional $n \times \ldots \times n$ tensor filled with 1's. We introduce a univariate polynomial

$$g(z) = \operatorname{PER}\big(J + z(A - J)\big).$$

We write

$$g(z) = \sum_{\sigma_2, \ldots, \sigma_d \in S_n} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left(1 + z\left(a_{i\sigma_2(i)\ldots\sigma_d(i)} - 1\right)\right),$$

so that

$$g(0) = \operatorname{PER} J = (n!)^{d-1}$$

and

$$g^{(k)}(0) = \sum_{\sigma_2, \ldots, \sigma_d \in S_n} \sum_{(i_1, \ldots, i_k)} \left(a_{i_1\sigma_2(i_1)\ldots\sigma_d(i_1)} - 1\right) \cdots \left(a_{i_k\sigma_2(i_k)\ldots\sigma_d(i_k)} - 1\right),$$

where the last sum is taken over all ordered k-tuples $(i_1, \ldots, i_k)$ of distinct indices $1 \leq i_j \leq n$. By symmetry we can write

$$\begin{aligned} g^{(k)}(0) = {} & ((n-k)!)^{d-1} \\ & \times \sum_{\substack{(i_{11}, \ldots i_{k1}) \\ (i_{12}, \ldots, i_{k2}) \\ \cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots \\ (i_{d1}, \ldots, i_{kd})}} (a_{i_{11}i_{12}\ldots i_{1d}} - 1)\,(a_{i_{21}i_{22}\ldots i_{2d}} - 1) \cdots (a_{i_{k1}i_{k2}\ldots i_{kd}} - 1), \end{aligned}$$

where the last sum is taken over all $(n!/(n-k)!)^d$ collections of d ordered k-tuples $(i_{1j}, \ldots, i_{kj})$ for $j = 1, \ldots, d$ of distinct indices $1 \leq i_{1j}, \ldots, i_{kj} \leq n$. The proof then proceeds as in Section 3.7. □

The polynomial p can be computed in $n^{O(\ln n - \ln \epsilon)}$ time, where the implicit constant in the "O" notation depends on δ and d alone.

4. Proofs of Theorems 1.2 and 1.7

Lemma 3.5 allows us to approximate the value of $g(1)$ by a low degree Taylor polynomial of $\ln g(z)$ at $z = 0$ provided the polynomial $g(z)$ does not have zeros in a disc of radius $\beta > 1$ centered at $z = 0$. In view of Theorems 1.3 and 1.8, we would like to construct a similar approximation under a weaker condition that $g(z) \neq 0$ for z in the strip $-\delta \le \Re\, z \le 1 + \delta$ and $|\Im\, z| \le \tau$ for some small $\delta > 0$ and $\tau > 0$. To achieve that, we first construct a polynomial ϕ such that $\phi(0) = 0$, $\phi(1) = 1$ and such that ϕ maps the disc $|z| \le \beta$ for some $\beta > 1$ inside the strip. We then apply Lemma 3.5 to the composition $g(\phi(z))$. The following lemma provides an explicit construction of such a polynomial ϕ.

(4.1) Lemma. *For $0 < \rho < 1$, let us define*

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha =&\alpha(\rho) = 1 - e^{-\frac{1}{\rho}}, \quad \beta = \beta(\rho) = \frac{1 - e^{-1-\frac{1}{\rho}}}{1 - e^{-\frac{1}{\rho}}} \;>\; 1,\\
N =&N(\rho) = \left\lfloor \left(1 + \frac{1}{\rho}\right) e^{1+\frac{1}{\rho}} \right\rfloor \;\ge\; 14, \quad \sigma = \sigma(\rho) = \sum_{m=1}^{N} \frac{\alpha^m}{m} \quad \textit{and}\\
\phi(z) =&\phi_\rho(z) = \frac{1}{\sigma} \sum_{m=1}^{N} \frac{(\alpha z)^m}{m}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then $\phi(z)$ is a polynomial of degree N such that $\phi(0) = 0$, $\phi(1) = 1$,

$$-\rho \;\le\; \Re\, \phi(z) \;\le\; 1 + 2\rho \quad \textit{and} \quad |\Im\, \phi(z)| \;\le\; 2\rho \quad \textit{provided} \quad |z| \le \beta.$$

Proof. Clearly, $\phi(z)$ is a polynomial of degree N such that $\phi(0) = 0$ and $\phi(1) = 1$. It remains to prove that ϕ maps the disc $|z| \le \beta$ into the strip $-\rho \le \Re\, z \le 1 + 2\rho$, $|\Im\, z| \le 2\rho$.

We consider the function

$$F_\rho(z) = \rho \ln \frac{1}{1 - z} \quad \text{for} \quad |z| < 1.$$

Since

$$\Re\, \frac{1}{1 - z} \;>\; 0 \quad \text{if} \quad |z| < 1,$$

the function $F_\rho(z)$ is well-defined by the choice of a branch of the logarithm, which we choose so that

$$F_\rho(0) = \rho \ln 1 = 0.$$

Then for $|z| < 1$ we have

$$|\Im\, F_\rho(z)| \;\le\; \frac{\pi\rho}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \Re\, F_\rho(z) \;\ge\; -\rho \ln 2 \tag{4.1.1}$$

In addition,

$$F_\rho(\alpha) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \Re\, F_\rho \;\le\; 1+\rho \quad \text{provided} \quad |z| \le 1 - e^{-1-\frac{1}{\rho}}. \tag{4.1.2}$$

Let

$$P_n(z) = \sum_{m=1}^{n} \frac{z^m}{m}.$$

Then

$$\left| \ln \frac{1}{1-z} - P_n(z) \right| = \left| \sum_{m=n+1}^{+\infty} \frac{z^m}{m} \right| \;\le\; \frac{|z|^{n+1}}{(n+1)(1-|z|)} \quad \text{provided} \quad |z| < 1.$$

Therefore, for $|z| \le \beta$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |F_\rho(\alpha z) - \rho P_N(\alpha z)| \;&\le \rho \frac{(\alpha\beta)^{N+1}}{(N+1)(1-\alpha\beta)} \\ &\le \frac{\rho}{N+1} \left(1 - e^{-1-\frac{1}{\rho}}\right)^{N+1} e^{1+\frac{1}{\rho}} \\ &\le \frac{\rho}{N+1} \;\le\; \frac{\rho}{15}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.1.3}$$

Combining (4.1.1) – (4.1.3), we conclude that for $|z| \le \beta$ we have

$$|\Im\, \rho P_N(\alpha z)| \;\le\; 1.64\rho \quad \text{and} \quad -0.76\rho \;\le\; \Re\, \rho P_N(\alpha z) \;\le\; 1 + 1.07\rho. \tag{4.1.4}$$

Substituting $z = 1$ in (4.1.3) and using (4.1.2), we conclude that

$$|1 - \rho P_N(\alpha)| \;\le\; \frac{\rho}{15}. \tag{4.1.5}$$

Since

$$\phi(z) = \frac{P_N(\alpha z)}{P_N(\alpha)} = \frac{\rho P_N(\alpha z)}{\rho P_N(\alpha)},$$

combining (4.1.4) and (4.1.5), we obtain

$$|\Im\, \phi(z)| \;\le\; 2\rho \quad \text{and} \quad -\rho \;\le\; \Re\, \phi(z) \;\le\; 1 + 2\rho \quad \text{provided} \quad |z| \le \beta.$$

□

(4.2) Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let $A = (a_{ij})$ be an $n \times n$ matrix satisfying

$$\delta \;\le\; a_{ij} \;\le 1 \quad \text{for all} \quad i, j,$$

let $J = J_n$ be the $n \times n$ matrix filled with 1s. As in Section 3.7, we define a univariate polynomial

$$r(z) = \operatorname{per}\bigl(J + z(A - J)\bigr) \quad \text{for} \quad z \in \mathbb{C}.$$

If $\Re\, z$ lies in the interval $[-\delta,\ 1 + \delta]$, the real parts of the entries of the matrix $J + z(A - J)$ lie in the interval $[\delta^2,\ 1 + \delta - \delta^2]$. Let

$$\tau = \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\delta^2}{1 + \delta - \delta^2}\right)^3.$$

If $|\Im\, z| \le \tau$, the imaginary parts of the entries of the matrix $J + z(A - J)$ do not exceed $\tau(1 - \delta)$ in the absolute value. Using that the permanent is a homogeneous polynomial in the matrix entries, we conclude from Theorem 1.3 that

$$r(z) \neq 0 \quad \text{if} \quad -\delta \ \le\ \Re\, z \ \le\ 1 + \delta \quad \text{and} \quad |\Im\, z| \ \le\ \tau. \tag{4.2.1}$$

Let us choose

$$\rho \ =\ \frac{1}{2}\min\{\delta,\ \tau\},$$

let $\phi(z) = \phi_\rho(z)$ be the polynomial of Lemma 4.1 and let

$$\beta = \beta_\rho = \frac{1 - e^{-1-\frac{1}{\rho}}}{1 - e^{-\frac{1}{\rho}}} > 1.$$

Hence $\phi(z)$ is a univariate polynomial of some degree $N = N(\delta)$ such that $\phi(0) = 0$, $\phi(1) = 1$,

$$-\delta \ \le \Re\,\phi(z) \ \le\ 1 + \delta \quad \text{and} \quad |\Im\,\phi(z)| \ \le\ \tau \quad \text{provided} \quad |z| \le \beta. \tag{4.2.2}$$

Let

$$g(z) = r(\phi(z)) \quad \text{for} \quad z \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Then $g(z)$ is a univariate polynomial such that $\deg g \ \le\ Nn$,

$$g(0) = r(0) = \operatorname{per} J = n! \quad \text{and} \quad g(1) = \operatorname{per} A.$$

Combining (4.2.1) and (4.2.2), we conclude that

$$g(z) \neq 0 \quad \text{provided} \quad |z| \le \beta.$$

Let us define

$$f(z) = \ln g(z) \quad \text{for} \quad |z| \le 1,$$

where we chose the branch of the logarithm such that $f(0) = \ln n!$ is real. Let $T_m(z)$ be the Taylor polynomial of $g(z)$ computed at $z = 0$. By Lemma 3.5, we have

$$|T_m(1) - f(1)| = |T_m(1) - \ln \operatorname{per} A| \;\leq\; \epsilon,$$

for some $m \leq \gamma(\ln n - \ln \epsilon)$ where $\gamma = \gamma(\delta) > 0$ is a constant depending on δ alone. It remains to show that $T_m(1)$ is a polynomial in the entries of A of degree not exceeding m.

For a univariate polynomial $p(z)$, let $p_{[m]}$ be the polynomial obtained from p by discarding all monomials of degree higher than m. Since $\phi(0) = 0$, the constant term of of ϕ is 0 and therefore

$$g_{[m]} = (r(\phi))_{[m]} = \big(r_{[m]}\,\big(\phi_{[m]}\big)\big)_{[m]}\,.$$

In words: to compute the polynomial $g_{[m]}$ obtained from g by discarding the monomials of degree higher than m, it suffices to compute the polynomials $r_{[m]}$ and $\phi_{[m]}$ obtained from r and ϕ respectively by discarding the monomials of degree higher than m, and then discard the monomials of degree higher than m in the composition $r_{[m]}(\phi_{[m]})$.

In Section 3.7 we prove that $r^{(k)}(0)$ is a polynomial of degree k in the entries of the matrix A. It follows then that $g^{(k)}(0)$ is a polynomial in the entries of A of degree at most k that can be computed in $n^{O(k)}$ time (the implied constant in the "O" notation is absolute). From Section 3.6 it follows then that $f^{(k)}(0)$ is a polynomial in the entries of A of degree at most k, which completes the proof. □

(4.3) Proof of Theorem 1.7. We define the univariate polynomial $r(z)$ by

$$r(z) = \operatorname{haf}\big(J + z(A - J)\big),$$

where $J = J_{2n}$ is the $2n \times 2n$ matrix filled with 1s and the proof then proceeds as in Section 4.2. □

5. Concluding remarks

Lemmas 3.5 and 4.1 suggest the following general way of approximating combinatorially interesting polynomials. Suppose that $p(z)$ is a univariate polynomial such that $\deg p \leq n$. Suppose further we want to approximate $p(1)$ whereas $p(0)$ is easily computable and the derivatives $p^{(k)}(0)$ can be computed in $n^{O(k)}$ time. We can approximate $p(1)$ within a relative error $\epsilon > 0$ in quasi-polynomial time $n^{O(\ln n - \ln \epsilon)}$ provided we can find a "sleeve" $S \subset \mathbb{C}$ in the complex plane such that $0 \in S$, $1 \in S$ and $p(z) \neq 0$ for all $z \in S$. The sleeve S should be wide enough, meaning that it contains a number N, fixed in advance, of discs $D_1, \ldots, D_N$ of equal radii such that D_i contains the center of D_{i-1} for $i = 2, \ldots, N$ with D_1 centered at 0 and D_N centered at 1. An example of such a sleeve is provided by the strip $-\delta \leq \Re\, z \leq 1 + \delta$ and $|\Im\, z| \leq \tau$ for some $\delta > 0$ and $\tau > 0$, fixed in advance for the polynomial $r(z) = \operatorname{per}\,(J + z(A - J))$ of Section 4.2.

As another example, we consider the independence polynomial of graph. Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph (undirected, without loops or multiple edges) with set V of vertices and set E of edges. A set $S \subset V$ is called *independent* if no two vertices in S span an edge of G (the empty set $S = \emptyset$ is considered independent). The *independence polynomial* of G is defined as

$$p_G(z) = \sum_{\substack{S \subset V \\ S \text{ is independent}}} z^{|S|} = \sum_{k=0}^{|V|} (\text{the number of independent } k\text{-sets in } V)\, z^k.$$

Then $p_G(1)$ is the number of all independent sets in G, a quantity of considerable combinatorial interest. On the other hand, the value of the derivative $p_G^{(k)}(0)$ can be computed in $|V|^{O(k)}$ time by a direct inspection of all k-subsets $S \subset V$.

Suppose we know that $p_G(z) \neq 0$ provided $|z| \leq \beta$ for some $\beta > 0$ (for example, β can be the Dobrushin bound, see [SS05] and [CF16]). Lemma 3.5 then implies that for any $0 \leq \lambda < 1$, fixed in advance, the value of $p_G(z)$ can be approximated within a relative error $0 < \epsilon < 1$ in quasi-polynomial time $|V|^{O(\ln |V| - \ln \epsilon)}$ provided $|z| \leq \lambda\beta$, see [Re15] for many examples of this nature and also [We06] for an algorithm based on the "correlation decay" idea.

If, additionally, the zeros of $p_G(z)$ are known to be confined to a particular region of the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$, we can hope to do better by constructing a sleeve $S \subset \mathbb{C}$ where $p_G(z)$ is not zero and interpolating $p_G(z)$ there. In an extreme case, when G is claw-free, the roots of $p_G(z)$ are known to be negative real [CS07], which leads to a quasi-polynomial algorithm for approximating $p_G(z)$ provided $\Re z > 0$ and $|z| \leq \lambda\beta$ where $\lambda > 0$ is arbitrarily large, fixed in advance, see also [B+07] for an algorithm based on the correlation decay approach.

On the other hand, for a general graph G there cannot be such a sleeve S unless NP-complete problems admit a polynomial time algorithm. Indeed, generally, it is an NP-hard problem to approximate $p_G(z)$ for a real $z > \lambda\beta$, where $\lambda > 0$ is some absolute constant and β is the Dobrushin lower bound on the absolute value of the roots of $p_G(z)$ [LV99]. This means that for a general graph G one can expect the complex roots of $p_G(z)$ to "surround" the origin, so that there is no possibility to squeeze a sleeve between them to connect 0 and 1.

It would be interesting to extend the class of polynomials for which a version of Theorems 1.2 and 1.7 can be obtained. While we failed to obtain such a version for the multi-dimensional permanent (see Section 3), there does not seem to be a computational complexity obstacle for such an extension to exist. In [BS11] it is shown that the multi-dimensional permanent of a $n \times \ldots \times n$ tensor with positive entries between an arbitrarily small $\delta > 0$, fixed in advance, and 1 can be approximated within an $n^{O(1)}$ factor in polynomial time, which can be viewed as an indirect evidence that Theorem 1.3 can indeed be extended to multi-dimensional permanents.

Finally, we pose a question, which, if answered in the affirmative, would lead to a quasi-polynomial approximation algorithm for the permanent of an arbitrary non-

negative matrix. As is shown in [L+00], computing the permanent of a non-negative matrix reduces by scaling to computing the permanent of a doubly stochastic matrix. Let A be an $n \times n$ *doubly stochastic* matrix, that is, a non-negative real matrix with all row and column sums equal to 1, and let $\frac{1}{n}J$ be the $n \times n$ matrix with all entries equal to $1/n$. As in Section 4.2, we define a univariate polynomial

$$r(z) = \operatorname{per}\left(\frac{1}{n}J + z\left(A - \frac{1}{n}J\right)\right).$$

We note that if $0 \le z \le 1$ is real, then $r(z)$ is the permanent of a doubly stochastic matrix, so that $r(z) \ge n!/n^n$ by the van der Waerden bound, see [Gu08]. Let dist be the standard Euclidean distance in the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$ and let $[0,1] \subset \mathbb{C}$ be the unit interval. Does there exist an absolute constant $\gamma > 0$ such that

$$r(z) = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \qquad \text{implies} \qquad \operatorname{dist}(z,[0,1]) \ \ge \ \gamma?$$

If such an absolute constant $\gamma > 0$ indeed exists then a straightforward modification of the proof of Section 4.2 implies that for any $0 < \epsilon < 1$ there is a polynomial $p = p_{n,\epsilon}$ in the entries of an $n \times n$ matrix A such that $\deg p = O(\ln n - \ln \epsilon)$ (the implicit constant in the "O" notation is absolute) and

$$|\ln \operatorname{per} A - p(A)| \ \le \ \epsilon$$

for any doubly stochastic $n \times n$ matrix A, see also [Mc14] and [KK14] for related computations.

References

[AA13] S. Aaronson and A. Arkhipov, *The computational complexity of linear optics*, Theory of Computing **9** (2013), 143–252.

[Ba99] A. Barvinok, *Polynomial time algorithms to approximate permanents and mixed discriminants within a simply exponential factor*, Random Structures & Algorithms **14** (1999), no. 1, 29–61.

[Ba15] A. Barvinok, *Computing the partition function for cliques in a graph*, Theory of Computing **11** (2015), Article 13, pp. 339–355.

[Ba16] A. Barvinok, *Computing the permanent of (some) complex matrices*, Foundations of Computational Mathematics **16**, no. 2, 329–342.

[BS11] A. Barvinok and A. Samorodnitsky, *Computing the partition function for perfect matchings in a hypergraph*, Combinatorics, Probability and Computing **20** (2011), no. 6, 815–835.

[BS16] A. Barvinok and P. Soberón, *Computing the partition function for graph homomorphisms with multiplicities*, Journal of Combinatorial Theory. Series A **137** (2016), 1–26.

[B+07] M. Bayati, D. Gamarnik, D. Katz, C. Nair, P. Tetali, *Simple deterministic approximation algorithms for counting matchings*, STOC'07–Proceedings of the 39th Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing, ACM, New York, 2007, pp. 122–127.

[Bu15] B. Bukh, *personal communication* (2015).

[CS07] M. Chudnovsky and P. Seymour, *The roots of the independence polynomial of a clawfree graph*, Journal of Combinatorial Theory. Series B **97** (2007), no. 3, 350–357.

[CF16] P. Csikvári and P.E. Frenkel, *Benjamini-Schramm continuity of root moments of graph polynomials*, European Journal of Combinatorics **52** (2016), part B, 302–320.

[F+04] S. Friedland, B. Rider and O. Zeitouni, *Concentration of permanent estimators for certain large matrices*, The Annals of Applied Probability **14** (2004), no. 3, 1559–1576.

[Fü00] M. Fürer, *Approximating permanents of complex matrices*, Proceedings of the Thirty-Second Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing, ACM, New York, 2000, pp. 667–669.

[GK10] D. Gamarnik and D. Katz, *A deterministic approximation algorithm for computing the permanent of a 0, 1 matrix*, Journal of Computer and System Sciences **76** (2010), no. 8, 879–883.

[Gu05] L. Gurvits, *On the complexity of mixed discriminants and related problems*, Mathematical Foundations of Computer Science 2005, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol. 3618, Springer, Berlin, 2005, pp. 447–458.

[Gu08] L. Gurvits, *Van der Waerden/Schrijver-Valiant like conjectures and stable (aka hyperbolic) homogeneous polynomials: one theorem for all. With a corrigendum*, Electronic Journal of Combinatorics **15** (2008), no. 1, Research Paper 66, 26 pp.

[GS14] L. Gurvits and A. Samorodnitsky, *Bounds on the permanent and some applications*, 55th Annual IEEE Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science–FOCS 2014, IEEE Computer Soc., Los Alamitos, CA, 2014, pp. 90–99.

[J+04] M. Jerrum, A. Sinclair and E. Vigoda, *A polynomial-time approximation algorithm for the permanent of a matrix with nonnegative entries*, Journal of the ACM **51** (2004), no. 4, 671–697.

[Ka16] G. Kalai, *The quantum computer puzzle (expanded version)*, preprint `arXiv:1605.00992` (2016).

[KK14] G. Kalai and G. Kindler, *Gaussian noise sensitivity and BosonSampling*, preprint `arXiv:1409.3093` (2014).

[L+00] N. Linial, A. Samorodnitsky, and A. Wigderson, *A deterministic strongly polynomial algorithm for matrix scaling and approximate permanents*, Combinatorica **20** (2000), no. 4, 545–568.

[LP09] L. Lovász and M.D. Plummer, *Matching Theory*, AMS Chelsea Publishing, Providence, RI, 2009.

[LV99] M. Luby and E. Vigoda, *Fast convergence of the Glauber dynamics for sampling independent sets*, Random Structures & Algorithms **15** (1999), no. 3-4, 229–241.

[Mc14] P. McCullagh, *An asymptotic approximation for the permanent of a doubly stochastic matrix*, Journal of Statistical Computation and Simulation **84** (2014), no. 2, 404–414.

[Mi78] H. Minc, *Permanents*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, **6**, Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., Reading, Mass, 1978.

[Re15] G. Regts, *Zero-free regions of partition functions with applications to algorithms and graph limits*, preprint `arXiv 1507.02089` (2015).

[R+14] M. Rudelson, A. Samorodnitsky and O. Zeitouni, *Random Gaussian matrices and Hafnian estimators*, preprint `arXiv:1409.3905` (2014).

[SS05] A.D. Scott and A.D. Sokal, *The repulsive lattice gas, the independent-set polynomial, and the Lovász local lemma*, Journal of Statistical Physics **118** (2005), no. 5–6, 1151–1261.

[Va79] L.G. Valiant, *The complexity of computing the permanent*, Theoretical Computer Science **8** (1979), no. 2, 189–201.

[We06] D. Weitz, *Counting independent sets up to the tree threshold*, STOC'06: Proceedings of the 38th Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing, ACM, New York, 2006, pp. 140–149.

Department of Mathematics, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48109-1043, USA

E-mail address: `barvinok@umich.edu`